Application No.: 10/614,277

Art Unit: 2828

Response under 37 CFR §1.116

Attorney Docket No.: 030824

REMARKS

Claims 9-24 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-8 were previously

withdrawn from consideration.

It is respectfully submitted that this paper is fully responsive to the Office action mailed

July 18, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 9-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by applicants'

disclosed prior art ("AAPA").

Applicants respectfully disagree with the examiner's rejection. Accordingly, in view of

the following remarks, Applicants request that the rejection of claims 9-24 be withdrawn.

Anticipation requires the disclosure in a single prior art reference of each and every

limitation of the claimed invention, arranged as in the claim. However, the AAPA does not

disclose either (A) an optimum power intensity calculating unit that calculates an optimum

power intensity setting range that maintains the predetermined wavelength and falls within a

predetermined power intensity variable range, or (B) an optimum temperature calculating unit

that calculates an optimum temperature setting range that maintains the predetermined

wavelength and falls within a predetermined temperature variable range.

For example, according to AAPA shown in Figs. 3A and 3B, in test, the device is

required to operate at the center of power P Cent. Fig. 3A meets this requirement and Fig.

3B does not meet the requirement. One reason is because the AAPA does not have the optimum

-2-

Application No.: 10/614,277

Art Unit: 2828

Response under 37 CFR §1.116

Attorney Docket No.: 030824

temperature calculating unit described in claim 9. Therefore, in Fig. 3B, there is no optimum

temperature setting range that maintains the predetermined wavelength λ and falls within the

predetermined temperature variable range as long as the device is required to operate at the

center of power P_Cent.

Whereas, in the claimed invention, for example, the optimum power intensity setting

range calculated by the optimum power intensity calculating unit defined in claim 9 corresponds

to the power component range of SETTING RANGE 1 shown in Fig. 4A and that of SETTING

RANGE 1' shown in Fig. 4B. Similarly, the optimum temperature setting range calculated by

the optimum temperature calculating unit defined in claim 9 corresponds to the temperature

component range of SETTING RANGE 1 shown in Fig. 4A and that of SETTING RANGE 1'

shown in Fig. 4B. Unlike the AAPA, the setting value generated by the setting value generating

unit falls within the power and temperature component range of SETTING RANGE 1 and those

of SETTING RANGE 1'. In Fig. 4A, for example, the center of power P_Cent is located within

SETTING RANGE 1, more specifically, the temperature component range of SETTING RANGE

1. In contrast, in Fig. 4B, the center of power P_Cent is located outside of SETTING RANGE 1'

more specifically, the temperature component range of SETTING RANGE 1'. Nevertheless, the

device of Fig. 4B is handled as being non-defective.

Accordingly, in view of the aforementioned remarks, Applicants request that the

anticipation rejection of independent claims 9, 14, 19, and 24 be withdrawn.

- 3 -

Application No.: 10/614,277

Art Unit: 2828

Response under 37 CFR §1.116

Attorney Docket No.: 030824

Furthermore, Applicants submit that the rejection of claims 10-13, 15-18, and 20-23,

which depend from claims 9, 14, and 19, respectively, should be withdrawn in view of the

remarks above (and the remarks presented in Applicants previously filed responses).

Conclusion

In view of the aforementioned remarks, Applicants submit that the claims are in condition

for allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

Westerman, Hattori, Daniels & Adrian, LLP

Darrin A. Auito

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 56,024 Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

DAA/rer

- 4 -